## Homework set 7 - CSE 383C / CS 383C / M 383E / ME 397, Fall 2021

Hand in solutions to: 24.1, 25.1, 25.3.

Optional (do not hand in): 24.2, 25.2, 27.2.

Problem 1: Let A be an $m \times m$ real matrix that is symmetric. Fix a positive integer $p$ such that $p<m$, and let $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ be an $m \times p$ matrix that forms the starting point for a block power iteration. In other words, define matrices $\mathbf{B}_{k}$ via

$$
\mathbf{B}_{k}=\mathbf{A B}_{k-1}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Suppose the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ are ordered so that $\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geqslant\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geqslant\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \geqslant \cdots$, and that $\left|\lambda_{p}\right|>\left|\lambda_{p+1}\right|$. Then the column space of $\mathbf{B}_{k}$ will "converge" to the space spanned by the first $p$ eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}$. (We use the term "converge" loosely, since we have not defined any notion of a distance between subspaces.) However, the columns of $\mathbf{B}_{k}$ will typically form a very ill-conditioned basis for this space. A standard fix to this problem is to orthonormalize the columns between each iteration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Q}_{0}=\mathbf{B}_{0} \\
& \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
& \quad \mathbf{C}_{k}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} \\
& \quad\left[\mathbf{Q}_{k}, \sim\right]=\operatorname{qr}\left(\mathbf{C}_{k}, 0\right) \\
& \text { end for }
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) (Optional) Run the function hw7p1.m to see how well block power iteration approximates the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$. Observe that the larger eigenvalues seem to converge faster. Can you explain why?
(b) Prove that the column space of the matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{k}$ resulting from the numerically stable method equals the column space of $\mathbf{B}_{k}$ computed by the "pure" power iteration when exact arithmetic is used. You may assume that each matrix $\mathbf{B}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{k}$ has full rank. Hint: If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ is a matrix of rank $p$, and if $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is an invertible matrix, then $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X Y}$ have the same column space.
(c) (Optional) The function hw7p1_extra.m compares the eigenvalues that result when block power iteration is used. Run and see if the results match what you would expect.

Problem 2 (optional - do not hand in): The code snippet below is from the file hw7p2.m:

```
%%% Run power iteration to build an ON basis Q1 for the range of A.
Y = randn (m,b);
for k = 1:q
    Y = A*Y;
end
[Q1,~] = qr(Y,0);
%ᄋ%ᄋ Compute the norm of the difference between A and the projection of A
%%%% onto the first k columns of Q1.
E = zeros (1,b);
for k = 1:b
    E(k) = norm(A - Q1(:,1:k)*Q1(:,1:k)'*A);
end
```

The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric, and the code computes an ON matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ whose first $k$ columns approximately span the space spanned by the $k$ dominant eigenvectors. The code also computes a vector $E$ that measures how well the first $k$ columns of $\mathbf{Q}$ span the column space of $\mathbf{A}$. To be precise,

$$
E_{k}=\left\|\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{Q}(:, 1: k) \mathbf{Q}(:, 1: k)^{*} \mathbf{A}\right\| .
$$

When the code is run for a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ whose eigenvalues decay rapidly, the error $E_{k}$ is the blue line in the graph shown below:


The black line shows the minimal error that results when $\mathbf{A}$ is approximated by a matrix of rank $k$ (this equals $\sigma_{k+1}$ ). We do great until accuracy $10^{-8}$ is reached, and then we do terribly after that.
(a) Fix the code so that you get the red line instead of the blue line. Hand in a printout of your code and the resulting plot. Hint: Use what you learned in Problem 1!
(b) The parameter $q$ in the code specifies how many steps of power iteration is taken. If you play around with different values of $q$, you will see that the basic version of the code loses accuracy at around $\left(1 / \epsilon_{\text {mach }}\right)^{q-1}$. Try to explain this. (No need to hand this in.)

Problem 3 (optional - do not hand in): The file hw7p3.m implements the so called Jacobi method, which is an iterative technique for driving a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}$ to a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{T}$ through a sequence of similarity transforms. The essential piece of code is:

```
T = A;
for k = 1:niter
    for i = 1:(m-1)
        for j = (i+1):m
            th = 0.5*atan(2*T(i,j)/(T(j,j)-T(i,i)));
            J = [cos(th),sin(th);-sin(th),\operatorname{cos}(th)];
            T([i,j],:) = J'*T([i,j],:);
            T(:,[i,j]) = T(:, [i,j])*J;
            end
    end
end
```

(a) Read Lecture 30 in the book to learn about the Jacobi method.
(b) To monitor the convergence of the Jacobi method, let us define an "error"

$$
E=\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{triu}(T, 1) .^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)\right)
$$

that measures how much mass is left off the diagonal. (To be precise, $E$ is the Frobenius norm of the strictly upper triangular part of $\mathbf{T}$.) Edit the code that you are given so that it computes $E_{k}$ as a function of $k$. Hand in a plot of $E_{k}$ versus $k$ for some matrix of your choice (specify the matrix). You will probably want to use semilogy for the plot.
(c) Repeat problem (b), but now for the basic QR iteration. In other words:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}_{0}=\mathbf{A} \\
& \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots \\
& \quad[\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}]=\mathrm{qr} \mathbf{T}_{k-1} \\
& \quad \mathbf{T}_{k}=\mathbf{R Q} \\
& \text { end for }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comments:

- Yes, you should only hand in a solution to part (b) of this question.
- You will likely find that Jacobi converges must faster than the QR method. Please keep in mind however, that QR is almost always implemented using shifts that greatly accelerate the convergence.
- There is a more numerically stable formula for computing $\mathbf{J}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =(T(j, j)-T(i, i)) /(2 T(i, j)) \\
t & =\operatorname{sign}(\beta) /\left(|\beta|+\sqrt{\beta^{2}+1}\right) \\
c & =1 / \sqrt{t^{2}+1} \\
J & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
c & c t \\
-c t & c
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

