

Homework 5

9.1) Prove that $\rho(A^*) = \overline{\rho(A)}$ where $\overline{\rho(A)}$ is the set $\{\lambda \in C \mid \bar{\lambda} \in \rho(A)\}$.

Assume $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Then $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ and $((A - \lambda I)^{-1})^*$ exist and are bounded.

We need to show that $((A - \lambda I)^{-1})^* = (A^* - \bar{\lambda} I)^{-1}$.

If we show that $(B^{-1})^* = (B^*)^{-1}$ then this follows immediately.

Say $y = By'$. Then

$$\langle (B^{-1})^* x, y \rangle = \langle x, B^{-1} y \rangle = \langle x, y' \rangle$$

$$\langle (B^*)^{-1} x, y \rangle = \langle (B^*)^{-1} x, B y' \rangle = \langle B^* (B^*)^{-1} x, y' \rangle = \langle x, y' \rangle$$

So $(B^{-1})^* = (B^*)^{-1}$ and we are done.

9.2) If λ is an eigenvalue of A then $\bar{\lambda}$ is in the spectrum of A^* . What can you say about the type of spectrum $\bar{\lambda}$ belongs to?

First we show that $\bar{\lambda}$ is in the spectrum of A^* : $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \Rightarrow \exists x \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } (A - \lambda I)x = 0 \forall y$

This holds iff $(x, (A^* - \bar{\lambda} I)y) = 0 \forall y$ which holds iff $x \perp \text{ran}(A^* - \bar{\lambda} I) \Rightarrow \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(A^*)$

Now $\bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma_c(A)$ because $(A^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$ is dense iff $(A^* - \bar{\lambda} I)^\perp = 0$, but $x \neq 0$.

So $\bar{\lambda}$ is in either the point or residual spectrum of A^* .

9.3) Suppose that A is a bounded linear operator of a Hilbert space and $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$. Prove that the resolvent R_λ of A satisfies $R_\lambda - R_\mu = (\mu - \lambda)R_\lambda R_\mu$.

First note that $A^{-1} - B^{-1} = -A^{-1}(A - B)B^{-1}$ (we use this below in the equality denoted by *)

$$\text{Then } R_\lambda - R_\mu = (A - \lambda I)^{-1} - (A - \mu I)^{-1} \stackrel{*}{=} \underbrace{(A - \lambda I)^{-1}}_{=R_\lambda} \underbrace{((A - \lambda I) - (A - \mu I))}_{=(\mu - \lambda)} \underbrace{(A - \mu I)^{-1}}_{=R_\mu} = (\mu - \lambda)R_\lambda R_\mu$$

9.4) Prove that the spectrum of an orthogonal projection P is either $\{0\}$ (in which case $P=0$), $\{1\}$ (in which case $P=I$), or $\{0,1\}$.

Assume that P is an orthogonal projection. Then $H = \text{ran}P \oplus \ker P$ where $\text{ran}P = (\ker P)^\perp$.

Case 1) $\text{ran}P = \{0\}$

Then $P = 0$ and $Px = 0 \forall x$ so $0 \in \sigma_p(P)$

If $\lambda \neq 0$ then $(P - \lambda I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}I$ so $\lambda \in \rho(P)$

Case 2) $\ker P = \{0\}$

Then $\text{ran}P = (\ker P)^\perp = H$ so $P = I$ and $Px = x \forall x$ so $1 \in \sigma_p(P)$

If $\lambda \neq 1$ then $(P - \lambda I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}I$ so $\lambda \in \rho(P)$

Case 3) $\text{ran}P \neq \{0\}, \ker P \neq \{0\}$

If $x \neq 0, x \in \text{ran}P$ then $x = Px$ so $1 \in \sigma_p(P)$

If $x \neq 0, x \in \ker P$ then $0 = Px$ so $0 \in \sigma_p(P)$

If $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ then $(P - \lambda I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}P - \frac{1}{\lambda}(I - P)$ so $\lambda \in \rho(P)$

9.5) A is a bounded, nonnegative operator on a complex Hilbert space. Prove that $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$.

First note that A nonnegative implies A self-adjoint and A self-adjoint implies $\sigma(A) \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, A bounded implies $\sigma(A) \subseteq [-\|A\|, \|A\|]$.

Assume $\lambda < 0$. We need to show that $(A - \lambda I)$ is invertible.

Since A is self-adjoint we know that $(Au, u) = (u, Au) \in \mathbb{R}$ so:

$$\|(A - \lambda I)u\|^2 = \underbrace{\|Au\|^2}_{\geq 0} - \underbrace{2\lambda(Au, u)}_{\substack{< 0 \\ \geq 0}} + \lambda^2 \|u\|^2 \geq \lambda^2 \|u\|^2 \text{ so } (A - \lambda I) \text{ is coercive. A coercive implies}$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{ran}(A - \lambda I) \text{ closed} \Rightarrow \lambda \notin \sigma_c(A) \\ (A - \lambda I) \text{ one-to-one} \Rightarrow \lambda \notin \sigma_p(A) \end{cases} \text{ A self-adjoint implies } \sigma_r(A) = \{\text{empty}\}.$$

Since λ is not in any of the parts of the spectrum it is not in the spectrum and our proof is complete.

9.6) G is a multiplication operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $Gf(x) = g(x)f(x)$ where g is continuous and bounded. Prove that G is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and that its spectrum is given by $\sigma(G) = \overline{\{g(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}}$. Can an operator of this form have eigenvalues?

G is a bounded linear operator:

$$\|G\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|Gf\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left(\int |g(x)f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \underbrace{\sup_{\|g\|_\infty} |g(x)|}_{=\|g\|_\infty} \underbrace{\sup_{\|f\|=1} \left(\int |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}}_{=\|f\|=1} = \|g\|_\infty$$

Spectrum: Set $\Omega = \overline{\{g(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}}$.

Suppose $\lambda \notin \Omega$. Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $|\lambda - g(x)| \geq \varepsilon \forall x$.

Note that

$$(G - \lambda I) \frac{1}{g(x) - \lambda} f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{g(x) - \lambda} f(x) - \frac{\lambda}{g(x) - \lambda} f(x) = f(x) \Rightarrow (G - \lambda I)^{-1} f(x) = \frac{1}{g(x) - \lambda} f(x)$$

Then

$$\|(G - \lambda I)^{-1}\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|(G - \lambda I)^{-1} f\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left(\int \left| \frac{1}{g(x) - \lambda} f(x) \right|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \underbrace{\sup_{\|g\|_\infty} \frac{1}{|g(x) - \lambda|}}_{\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \underbrace{\sup_{\|f\|=1} \left(\int |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}}_{=\|f\|=1} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

Suppose $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then there exists $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $g(x_n) \rightarrow \lambda$

For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ pick n_j s.t. $|g(x_{n_j}) - \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{j}$

Since g is continuous at x_{n_j} there exists δ s.t. $x \in B_\delta(x_{n_j}) \Rightarrow |g(x_{n_j}) - g(x)| \leq \frac{1}{j}$

$$\text{Set } u_{n_j}(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{j/2} & x \in B_\delta(x_{n_j}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\|(G - \lambda I)u_{n_j}\|^2 = \int |g(x) - \lambda|^2 |u_{n_j}(x)|^2 dx \leq \int \left(\underbrace{|g(x) - g(x_{n_j})|}_{\leq 1/j} + \underbrace{|g(x_{n_j}) - \lambda|}_{\leq 1/j} \right)^2 |u_{n_j}(x)|^2 dx \leq$$

Then

$$\leq \frac{4}{j^2} \int \underbrace{|u_{n_j}(x)|^2}_{=1} dx = \frac{4}{j^2} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

The inequality denoted by “TI” uses the triangle inequality.

We have shown that $(G - \lambda I)$ is not continuously invertible (so λ is in the spectrum).

Eigenvalues: Suppose $(G - \lambda I)u = 0$ for $u \neq 0$.

Then $(g(x) - \lambda)u(x) = 0$ but $u \neq 0$. This is possible if and only if the set $\{x : g(x) = \lambda\}$ has positive (non-zero) measure.

9.7) Let $K : L^2([0,1]) \rightarrow L^2([0,1])$ be the integral operator defined by $Kf(x) = \int_0^x f(y)dy$.

a) Find the adjoint operator K^* .

$$(Kf, g) = \int_0^1 \int_0^x f(y)dy g(x)dx = \int_0^1 \int_0^x f(y)g(x)dydx = \int_0^1 \int_y^1 f(y)g(x)dx dy = \int_0^1 f(y) \int_y^1 g(x)dx dy = (f, K^*g)$$

$$\text{So } K^*g(x) = \int_y^1 g(y)dy$$

b) Show that $\|K\| = 2/\pi$.

Set $\phi_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2}\right)$. Then $(\phi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an ON-basis for $L^2([0,1])$.

$$\text{Then } [K\phi_n](x) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^x \cos\left(\frac{n\pi y}{2}\right)dy = \left[\frac{2}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi y}{2}\right) \right]_0^x = \sqrt{2} \frac{2}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2}\right).$$

Set $\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2}\right)$. Then $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is also an ON-basis for $L^2([0,1])$.

We can write $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \phi_n$.

$$\text{Then } \|Kx\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n K\phi_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{2}{n\pi} \psi_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\alpha_n|^2 \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{n\pi}\right)^2}_{\leq 4/\pi^2} \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\alpha_n|^2 = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \|x\|^2 \text{ so } \|K\| \leq \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Since $\|K\phi_1\|^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \|\phi_1\|^2$ we also have that $\|K\| \geq \frac{2}{\pi}$. Together we get $\|K\| = 2/\pi$.

Remark: We have determined the singular value decomposition of K :

$$Kx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sigma_n \psi_n \langle \phi_n, x \rangle \text{ where } \sigma_n = \frac{2}{n\pi} \text{ are the singular values.}$$

We can then conclude that $\|K\| = \max_n \sigma_n = \sigma_1 = \frac{2}{\pi}$.

c) Show that the spectral radius of K is equal to zero.

$$[K^2 u](x) = \int_0^x \int_0^y u(z) dz dy = \int_0^x u(z) \int_z^x dy dz = \int_0^x (x-z) u(z) dz$$

$$[K^3 u](x) = \int_0^x \int_0^y (y-z) u(z) dz dy = \int_0^x u(z) \int_z^x (y-z) dy dz = \int_0^x \frac{(x-z)^2}{2} u(z) dz$$

$$\text{This generalizes to } [K^n u](x) = \dots = \int_0^x \frac{(x-z)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u(z) dz$$

$$\text{So } \|K^n u\|^2 = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x \frac{(x-z)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} u(z) dz \right)^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \underbrace{\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x (x-z)^{2(n-1)} dz \right)^2}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\left(\int_0^x u^2(y) dy \right)^2}_{\leq \|u\|^2} dx \leq \frac{\|u\|^2}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\text{This implies that } \|K^n\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}, \text{ so } r(K) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \right)^{1/n} = 0$$

d) Show that 0 belongs to the continuous spectrum of K .

Set $Ku = v$.

Pick $\tilde{v} \in P$ s.t. $\|v - \tilde{v}\| < \varepsilon$ where P is the set of functions $(\sin(n\pi x))_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We have previously shown that this is a basis.

$$\text{Set } \tilde{u} = \tilde{v}' \text{ then } \tilde{u} \in L^2(I) \text{ and } [K\tilde{u}](x) = \int_0^x \tilde{v}(y) dy = \tilde{v}(x) - \tilde{v}(0) = \tilde{v}(x)$$

The final equality uses the fact that $\sin(0) = 0$.

So $\tilde{v}(x) \in \text{ran} K$ and $\|v - \tilde{v}\| < \varepsilon$, hence 0 is in the continuous spectrum.

9.8) Define the right shift operator S on $l^2(Z)$ by $S(x)_k = x_{k-1} \forall k \in Z$ where $x = (x_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is in $l^2(Z)$. Prove the following (a-d).

First recall the Fourier transform: $F^{-1}x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n \frac{e^{in}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

Set $\tilde{S} = F^{-1}SF$, then $(\tilde{S} - \lambda I) = F^{-1}SF - \lambda F^{-1}F = F^{-1}(S - \lambda I)F$

Now $\lambda \in \sigma_{\alpha}(S) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma_{\alpha}(\tilde{S})$, $\alpha = p, c, r$

Then $F^{-1}Sx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} \frac{e^{in}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = e^{it} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} \frac{e^{it(n-1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = e^{it} \underbrace{\tilde{x}(t)}_{=F^{-1}x}$ so $[\tilde{S}\tilde{x}](t) = e^{it}\tilde{x}(t)$

Assume $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Given $\tilde{y} \in L^2(T)$ we have $(S - \lambda I) \frac{1}{e^{it} - \lambda} \tilde{y}(t) = \tilde{y}(t)$ so $(S - \lambda I)$ is bijective.

$(S - \lambda I)$ bijective implies $\lambda \in \rho(\tilde{S})$ (*)

Assume $|\lambda| = 1$ and $(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)\tilde{x} = 0$. Then $(e^{it} - \lambda)\tilde{x}(t) = 0$ almost everywhere which implies $\tilde{x} = 0$.

This means that $(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)$ is one-to-one, so we can immediately conclude that $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(\tilde{S})$. (**)

Note that $1 \notin \text{ran}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I) \Rightarrow \text{ran}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I) \neq L^2(T)$ (***)

However, given a $\tilde{y} \in L^2(T)$ set $\tilde{y}_m(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{y}(t) & |\lambda - e^{it}| \geq 1/n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ then $\tilde{y}_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}(t)$ and

$\tilde{y}_m \in \text{ran}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)$ since $(\tilde{S} - \lambda I) \frac{\tilde{y}_m(t)}{e^{it} - \lambda} = \tilde{y}_m(t)$ (****)

a) The point spectrum of S is empty.

The equations (*) and (**) above show that λ isn't in the point spectrum for $|\lambda| \neq 1$ and $|\lambda| = 1$ respectively. Combined they show that the point spectrum is empty.

b) $\text{ran}(\lambda I - S) = l^2(Z)$ for every $\lambda \in C$ with $|\lambda| > 1$

Equation (*) above shows this.

c) $\text{ran}(\lambda I - S) = l^2(Z)$ for every $\lambda \in C$ with $|\lambda| < 1$

Equation (*) above shows this.

d) The spectrum of S consists of the unit circle $\{\lambda \in C \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$ and is purely continuous.

Equation (*) shows that λ is not in the spectrum for $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Equations (***) and (****) combine to show that all λ with $|\lambda| = 1$ are in the continuous spectrum.

9.9) Define the discrete Laplacian operator Δ on $l^2(Z)$ by $(\Delta x)_k = x_{k-1} - 2x_k + x_{k+1}$, where $x = (x_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Show that $\Delta = S + S^* - 2I$ and prove that the spectrum of Δ is entirely continuous and consists of the interval $[-4, 0]$.

Noting that on $l^2(Z)$ the adjoint of the right shift operator is the left shift operator (see problem 3 of homework 3), the fact that $\Delta = S + S^* - 2I$ follows directly.

Spectrum: As we did in the previous problem we begin by switching to the Fourier domain. Then

$$F^{-1}\Delta x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (x_{n-1} + x_{n+1} + 2x_n) \frac{e^{in}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = e^{it} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n-1} \frac{e^{it(n-1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + e^{-it} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_{n+1} \frac{e^{it(n+1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n \frac{e^{in}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = (e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2) \underbrace{\tilde{x}(t)}_{=f^{-1}x}$$

$$\text{so } [\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{x}](t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2)\tilde{x}(t)$$

Note that $e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2 \leq \sup|e^{it}| + \sup|e^{-it}| + 2 \leq 4$

Assume $|\lambda| > 4$. Given $\tilde{y} \in L^2(T)$ we have $(\Delta - \lambda I) \frac{1}{(e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2) - \lambda} \tilde{y}(t) = \tilde{y}(t)$ so $(\Delta - \lambda I)$ is bijective.

Note that $e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2 \geq -\sup|e^{it}| - \sup|e^{-it}| + 2 \geq 0$

Assume $|\lambda| < 4$. Given $\tilde{y} \in L^2(T)$ we have $(\Delta - \lambda I) \frac{1}{(e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2) - \lambda} \tilde{y}(t) = \tilde{y}(t)$ so $(\Delta - \lambda I)$ is bijective.

So the spectrum consists of the interval $[-4, 0]$. We just need to show that it is continuous.

Continuous: Note that $1 \notin \text{ran}(\tilde{\Delta} - \lambda I) \Rightarrow \text{ran}(\tilde{\Delta} - \lambda I) \neq L^2(T)$

However, given a $\tilde{y} \in L^2(T)$ set $\tilde{y}_m(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{y}(t) & |\lambda - (e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2)| \geq 1/n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ then $\tilde{y}_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}(t)$

and $\tilde{y}_m \in \text{ran}(\tilde{\Delta} - \lambda I)$ since $(\tilde{\Delta} - \lambda I) \frac{\tilde{y}_m(t)}{(e^{it} + e^{-it} + 2) - \lambda} = \tilde{y}_m(t)$

9.10) Posted separately on the website.

9.11) The approximate spectrum is defined $\sigma_{app}(A) = \{\lambda : \exists(x_n) \text{ s.t. } \|x_n\|=1 \text{ and } \|(A - \lambda I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0\}$.

Prove the following: (a) $\sigma_{app}(A) \subseteq \sigma(A)$

(b) $\sigma_p(A) \subseteq \sigma_{app}(A)$

(c) $\sigma_c(A) \subseteq \sigma_{app}(A)$

(d) Give an example to show that a point in the residual spectrum need not belong to the approximate spectrum.

a) Prove $\sigma_{app}(A) \subseteq \sigma(A)$

Assume $\lambda \in \sigma(A)^c = \rho(A)$. Then $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. If (x_n) is any sequence of vectors with $\|x_n\|=1$ then set $y_n = (A - \lambda I)x_n$.

Then $1 = \|x_n\| = \|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}y_n\| \leq \|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \cdot \|y_n\|$.

Also $\|y_n\| = \|(A - \lambda I)x_n\| \geq \frac{1}{\|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}\|}$ so $\lambda \notin \sigma_{app}(A)$.

b) Prove $\sigma_p(A) \subseteq \sigma_{app}(A)$

Assume $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$. Then there exists an $x \neq 0$ s.t. $Ax = \lambda x$. Set $x_n = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$, then $\|(A - \lambda I)x_n\| = 0$

so $\lambda \in \sigma_{app}(A)$.

c) Prove $\sigma_c(A) \subseteq \sigma_{app}(A)$

Assume $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$. Then $\overline{ran(A - \lambda I)} = H$. Set $\alpha = \inf_{\|x\|=1} \|(A - \lambda I)x\|$. We want to prove that

$\alpha = 0$ (if it is then we can pick x_n s.t. $\|x_n\|=1$ and $\|(A - \lambda I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$).

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then by Proposition 5.30 $ran(A - \lambda I)$ is closed. This is impossible since $(A - \lambda I)$ is not onto but $\overline{ran(A - \lambda I)} = H$.

d) Give an example of an operator A and a point $\lambda \in \sigma_r(A)$ s.t. $\lambda \notin \sigma_{app}(A)$.

Consider the right-shift operator S from question 9.10 and the point $\lambda = 0$. Then if $\|x_n\|=1$ we have $\|(S - \lambda I)x_n\| = \|Sx_n\| = \|x_n\| = 1$.