

**Applied Analysis (APPM 5450): Midterm 1**  
 11.35am – 12.50pm, Feb. 18, 2008. Closed books.

**Problem 1:** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with an ON-basis  $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ , and let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (z_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (u_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (v_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , and  $(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be sequences in  $H$  for which you know the following:

$$\langle x_n, x_m \rangle = 0 \text{ if } m \neq n \text{ and } \langle x_n, x_n \rangle = 1.$$

$$\|y_n\| = 1$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n\| = \infty$$

$$\|u_n\| = 1/n \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_j, u_n \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } j.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_j, v_n \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } j.$$

There exists a  $w \in H$  such that  $\|w_n\| \rightarrow \|w\|$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi_j, w_n \rangle = \langle \varphi_j, w \rangle$  for every  $j$ .

**Solution:**

	$x_n$	$y_n$	$z_n$	$u_n$	$v_n$	$w_n$
(1) Necessarily converges strongly.						
(2) Does not converge strongly.	2	3	2	1	3	1
(3) May or may not converge strongly.						
(1) Necessarily has a strongly convergent subsequence.						
(2) Does not have a strongly convergent subsequence.	2	3	3	1	3	1
(3) May or may not have a strongly convergent subsequence.						
(1) Necessarily converges weakly.						
(2) Does not converge weakly.	1	3	2	1	3	1
(3) May or may not converge weakly.						
(1) Necessarily has a weakly convergent subsequence.						
(2) Does not have a weakly convergent subsequence.	1	1	3	1	3	1
(3) May or may not have a weakly convergent subsequence.						

*Some (non-required!) comments:*

$(x_n)$  is an ON-sequence. It converges weakly to zero but does not converge strongly.

$(y_n)$  necessarily has a weakly convergent subsequence since the unit ball in a Hilbert space is weakly compact.

$(z_n)$  itself cannot converge either weakly or strongly since it has a subsequence  $(z_{n_j})$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_j}\| = \infty$ . However, it may have convergent sequences interlaced.

The condition  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$  by itself implies that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  strongly (and hence weakly as well).

You cannot say anything. Both the sequence  $v_n = n\varphi_n$  (which does not have any convergent subsequences) and the sequence  $v_n = 0$  satisfy the given condition.

$(w_n)$  is weakly convergent since it is a bounded sequence that converges “componentwise”. Moreover, it must be that  $w_n \rightarrow w$ , and since in addition  $\|w_n\| \rightarrow \|w\|$  strong convergence follows.

**Problem 2:** Set  $I = [-\pi/2, \pi]$  and consider the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(I)$ .

(a) Set  $\varphi_n(x) = \sin(nx)$  and prove that the set  $\mathcal{P} = \text{span}(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not dense in  $H$ . (3p)

(b) Set  $e_n(x) = e^{in x}/\sqrt{2\pi}$  and prove that the set  $(e_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is linearly dependent in the sense that there exists a sequence of complex numbers  $(\alpha_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that

$$0 < \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=-N}^N \alpha_n e_n \right\|_{L^2(I)} = 0.$$

(4p)

(c) Provide an ON-basis for  $H$ . (3p)

**Solution:**

(a) Set  $\psi = \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}$ . Then  $\langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sin(nx) dx = 0$  so  $\psi \in \mathcal{P}^{\perp}$ . Consequently, for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have  $\|\psi - \varphi\| = \sqrt{\|\psi\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2} \geq \|\psi\| = 1$ .

(b) Set  $\psi = \chi_{[-\pi, -\pi/2]}$ , and set

$$\alpha_n = \langle e_n, \psi \rangle_{L^2([-\pi, \pi])} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2} e^{-in x} dx.$$

Since  $(e_n)$  is an ON-basis for  $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ , we have  $\sum |\alpha_n|^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2([-\pi, \pi])}^2 = \pi/2$ .

Next, set  $\psi_N = \sum_{n=-N}^N \alpha_n e_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=-N}^N \alpha_n e_n \right\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi} |\psi_N(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi} |\psi_N(x) - \psi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi_N(x) - \psi(x)|^2 dx = \|\psi_N - \psi\|_{L^2([-\pi, \pi])}^2 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(c) There are many choices. For instance:

$$\{A_n \sin(n(2/3)(x + \pi/2))\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad \text{where} \quad A_n = \frac{1}{\|\sin(n(2/3)(x + \pi/2))\|_{L^2(I)}}$$

$$\{B_n e^{in(4/3)(x - \pi/4)}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad \text{where} \quad B_n = \frac{1}{\|e^{in(4/3)(x - \pi/4)}\|_{L^2(I)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\pi}}$$

$$\{C_n e^{in(4/3)x}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_n = \frac{1}{\|e^{in(4/3)x}\|_{L^2(I)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\pi}}$$

**Problem 3:** Let  $(\lambda_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  denote a bounded sequence of complex numbers and consider the map

$$(1) \quad A : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z}) : u \mapsto v = (\dots, v_{-1}, v_0, v_1, \dots) \text{ where } v_n = \lambda_n \langle e_n, u \rangle.$$

In (1),  $e_n$  denotes the Fourier basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $e_n(x) = e^{inx} / \sqrt{2\pi}$ .

(a) Prove that  $\|A\| = \sup_n |\lambda_n|$ . (4p)

(b) Let  $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  denote the Fourier transform. Complete the following sentences:

$\mathcal{F}^{-1}A$  is *self-adjoint* if and only if every number  $\lambda_n$  satisfies ...

$\mathcal{F}^{-1}A$  is *unitary* if and only if every number  $\lambda_n$  satisfies ...

Motivate briefly. (6p)

**Solution:**

(a) Set  $M = \sup |\lambda_n|$ . Then

$$\|Au\|^2 = \sum_n |\lambda_n \langle e_n, u \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_n M^2 |\langle e_n, u \rangle|^2 = \|u\|^2,$$

so  $\|A\| \leq M$ . Conversely,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|Au\| \geq \sup_n \|Ae_n\| = \sup_n |\lambda_n| = M.$$

(b) We have

$$[\mathcal{F}^{-1}A]u = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

It is clear that

$$[(\mathcal{F}^{-1}A)^*]u = \sum_n \bar{\lambda}_n \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n,$$

so  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}A$  is self-adjoint iff  $\bar{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n$  (which is to say, iff  $\lambda_n$  is real for all  $n$ ).

Similarly:  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}A$  is unitary iff  $(\mathcal{F}^{-1}A)^* = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}A)^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}A \text{ is unitary} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \bar{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n^{-1} \quad \forall n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |\lambda_n| = 1 \quad \forall n.$$

**Problem 4:** Recall that for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  it is the case that

$$(2) \quad \text{ran}(A) = \ker(A^*)^\perp.$$

Now consider the Hilbert space  $H = L^2([-\pi, \pi])$  and the operator

$$[A u](x) = x e^{ix} u(x).$$

(a) Construct  $A^*$  and prove that (2) does not hold for  $A$ . (6p)

(b) Determine  $\|A\|$ . (4p)

---

**Solution:**

(a) First we note that

$$\langle A u, v \rangle = \int \overline{x e^{ix} u(x)} v(x) dx = \int \overline{u(x)} (x e^{-ix} v(x)) dx = \langle u, A^* v \rangle,$$

with

$$[A^* v](x) = x e^{-ix} v(x).$$

It is immediately clear that  $\ker(A^*) = \{0\}$  so  $\ker(A^*)^\perp = H$ .

However,  $A$  is not onto. To see this, note that the constant function 1 belongs to  $H$ , but the equation  $A u = 1$  does not have a solution in  $H$ . It follows that (2) does not hold.

(b) First we note that

$$\|A u\|^2 = \int |x e^{ix} u(x)|^2 dx \leq \int \pi^2 |u(x)|^2 dx = \pi^2 \|u\|^2.$$

It follows that  $\|A\| \leq \pi$ . To prove the converse, consider the functions

$$u_n = \sqrt{n} \chi_{[\pi-1/n, \pi]}.$$

We have  $\|u_n\| = 1$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|^2 &= \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|A u\|^2 \geq \sup_n \|A u_n\|^2 = \sup_n \int_{\pi-1/n}^{\pi} |x e^{ix} \sqrt{n}|^2 dx \\ &\geq \sup_n \int_{\pi-1/n}^{\pi} (\pi - 1/n)^2 n dx = \sup_n (\pi - 1/n)^2 = \pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 5:** Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be Hilbert spaces.

(a) Define what it means for a map  $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$  to be *unitary*. (2p)

(b) Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ , that  $A$  is onto, and that  $\|Au\| = \|u\|$  for all  $u \in H_1$ . Is  $A$  necessarily unitary? Motivate briefly. (2p)

---

**Solution:**

(a) A map  $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$  is *unitary* if it is bijective and

$$\langle Ux, Uy \rangle_{H_2} = \langle x, y \rangle_{H_1} \quad \forall x, y \in H_1.$$

(b) Suppose that  $A$  is an isometry and is onto. Since  $A$  is an isometry, it follows that  $A$  is one-to-one, and hence bijective. To see that  $A$  preserves the inner product, simply use a spectral identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ux, Uy \rangle_{H_2} &= \frac{1}{4} (\|Ux + Uy\|_{H_2}^2 - \|Ux - Uy\|_{H_2}^2 - i\|Ux + iUy\|_{H_2}^2 + i\|Ux - iUy\|_{H_2}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y\|_{H_1}^2 - \|x - y\|_{H_1}^2 - i\|x + iy\|_{H_1}^2 + i\|x - iy\|_{H_1}^2) = \langle x, y \rangle_{H_1} \end{aligned}$$