

### Applied Analysis (APPM 5450): Midterm 3 – Solutions

5.00pm – 6.20pm, Apr 24, 2006. Closed books.

**Note:** In your solutions, explicitly state if you use an integral sign that does **not** refer to a Lebesgue integral. (All integrals in the exam are Lebesgue integrals.)

**Problem 1:** In this question,  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  denotes a measure space.

- (a) What axioms must  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfy? (2p)
- (b) What axioms must  $\mu$  satisfy? (2p)
- (c) Prove that if  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mu(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \leq \mu(\Omega_1) + \mu(\Omega_2)$ . Given an exact condition for when equality occurs. (“Equality occurs if and only if . . .”) (2p)
- (d) Define the Lebesgue integral of a measurable non-negative function. (2p)
- (e) Define the “essential supremum” of a measurable function  $f$  on  $X$ . (2p)
- (f) For which extended real numbers  $p$  are the simple functions dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ? When is  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  dense in  $L^p$ ? (2p)

---

(a,b) See textbook.

(c) Set  $A = \Omega_1 \setminus \Omega_2$ ,  $B = \Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1$ , and  $C = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ . Then  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are all disjoint, and  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = A \cup B \cup C$ . By the additivity of  $\mu$ , we get

$$\mu(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) = \mu(A \cup B \cup C) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) + \mu(C).$$

Moreover,  $\Omega_1 = A \cup C$  and  $\Omega_2 = B \cup C$  so

$$\mu(\Omega_1) + \mu(\Omega_2) = \mu(A \cup C) + \mu(B \cup C) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) + 2\mu(C).$$

Since  $\mu(C) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\mu(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \leq \mu(\Omega_1) + \mu(\Omega_2)$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\mu(C) = 0$ ; in other words, if  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  is a null-set.

(d) For a simple function  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_{\Omega_j}$ , we define  $\int \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mu(\Omega_j)$ . For a general non-negative  $f$ , we define  $\int f = \sup\{\int \varphi : \varphi \text{ is simple, and } \varphi \leq f\}$ .

(e)  $\operatorname{esssup}_X f(x) = \inf\{M : \mu(\{x : f(x) \geq M\}) = 0\} = \inf\{M : f(x) \leq M \text{ a.e.}\}$ .

(f) The simple functions are dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Problem 2:** Define the function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2^2$ . Define a tempered distribution  $T_f$  by  $\langle T_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) \varphi(x) dx$ . What is the Fourier transform of  $T_f$ ? Motivate your answer carefully. (4p)

---

Let  $U$  denote the tempered distribution  $\langle U, \varphi \rangle = \int \varphi$ . Recall that  $\hat{U} = 2\pi\delta$  (since  $\langle \hat{U}, \varphi \rangle = \langle U, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = \int \hat{\varphi}$ , and by the Fourier inversion formula  $\int \hat{\varphi} = 2\pi\varphi(0)$ ).

Note that  $T_f = x_1 x_2^2 U = -i(-ix)^{(1,2)} U$ .

Using the formula  $\partial^\alpha \hat{T} = \mathcal{F}[(-ix)^\alpha T]$  with  $\alpha = (1, 2)$ , we find that

$$\mathcal{F}[T_f] = \mathcal{F}[-i(-ix)^\alpha U] = -i\partial^\alpha \hat{U} = -i 2\pi \frac{\partial^3}{(\partial x_1)(\partial x_2)^2} \delta.$$

In other words,  $\langle \hat{T}_f, \varphi \rangle = -i 2\pi \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{(\partial x_1)(\partial x_2)^2}(0)$ .

**Problem 3:** Calculate the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_n^{n+1} \sqrt{x} \tan(1/\sqrt{x}) dx.$$

Motivate your answer carefully. (4p)

---

Changing variables to  $y = x - n$ , we have

$$I_n = \int_n^{n+1} \sqrt{x} \tan \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \int_0^1 \sqrt{y+n} \tan \frac{1}{\sqrt{y+n}} dy.$$

Set  $f_n(y) = \sqrt{y+n} \tan \frac{1}{\sqrt{y+n}}$ . Then, for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(y) = 1$ .

Since  $\tan t \leq 2t$  when  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $|f_n(y)| \leq 2$  when  $n \geq 1$ , and  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

Since  $|f_n|$  is bounded by the integrable function  $2\chi_{[0,1]}$ , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem applies, and we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(y) dy = \int_0^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(y) dy = \int_0^1 1 dy = 1.$$

*Remark:* Lebesgue dominated convergence is overkill. It is trivial to show that  $f_n \rightarrow 1$  in  $C([0, 1])$  (i.e. uniformly). It then follows immediately that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int 1 = 1.$$

**Problem 4:** Recall that for  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $(1 + |t|^2)^{s/2} \hat{f}(t) \in L^2$ . Prove that if  $s$  is large enough, then  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . (4p)

---

The Riemann-Lebesgue lemma says that if  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $\hat{g} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It follows trivially that in order to prove that  $f \in C_0$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $\hat{f} \in L^1$ .

Assume that  $f \in H^s$  for some  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . We have

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^1} = \int |\hat{f}| = \int |\hat{f}| (1 + |t|^2)^{s/2} \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^2)^{s/2}}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we then find that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \left( \int |\hat{f}|^2 (1 + |t|^2)^s \right)^{1/2} \left( \int \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^2)^s} \right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_{H^s} \left( \int \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^2)^s} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now simply note that

$$\int \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^2)^s} = [\text{Area of unit sphere in } \mathbb{R}^d] \times \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + r^2)^s} r^{d-1} dr,$$

which is finite if  $-2s + d - 1 < -1$ , or, in other words, if  $s > d/2$ .